1 2 3 4	JEFFREY F. KELLER (148005) JADE BUTMAN (235920) KELLER GROVER LLP 425 Second Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: (415) 543-1305 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861		
56	Attorneys for Plaintiffs David E. Lipton and Dana F. Thibedeau		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10 11	DAVID E. LIPTON, DANA F. THIBEDEAU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,) Case No. C 05-2669 (MHP)	
12	Plaintiffs, v.	 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES PENDING THE 	
14 15	INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation,	OUTCOME OF THE MOTION TO TRANSFER AND COORDINATE OR CONSOLIDATE PURSUANT TO	
16	Defendant.) 28 U.S.C. § 1407	
17			
18	WHEREAS, on June 29, 2005, Plaintiffs filed the instant action in the Northern		
19	District of California ("Lipton Action");		
20 21	WHEREAS, on July 7, 2005, by Clerk's Notice, the Lipton Action was		
22	reassigned from Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte to District Judge Marilyn Hall Patel, all		
23	pending hearing dates were thus vacated, and a case management conference was scheduled for		
24	Monday, October 31, 2005, at 4:00 p.m.; WHEREAS, on or about July 11, 2005, the plaintiffs in Brauch, et al. v. Intel		
25			
26	Corp., No. C 05-2743 (BZ) (N. D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, moved before the		
27	Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ("M	DL"), to transfer and coordinate or consolidate for	
28		1	

1	pre-trial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 ("MDL Motion"), and the Lipton Action has	
2	been identified as a related action to that motion;	
3	WHEREAS, to date, a decision has not been rendered on the MDL Motion;	
4	WHEREAS, the outcome of the MDL Motion will impact significantly the	
5	schedule of this case;	
6	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2	
7	by and among counsel for Plaintiffs Lipton and Thibedeau, and counsel for Defendant Intel	
8	Corporation, that the case management conference currently scheduled for Monday, October 31,	
9	2005, should be stayed pending the outcome of the MDL Motion;	
10	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the aforementioned parties that any events,	
11	dates or deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules or Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26,	
12	and any deadlines established in any case management order applicable to this case should	
13	likewise be stayed pending the outcome of the aforementioned MDL Motion; and	
14	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the aforementioned parties that if a case	
15	management conference is rescheduled by the Court, the parties shall adjust the dates for the	
16	conference, disclosures and report required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26	
17	accordingly.	
18		
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.	
20	Dated: October 20, 2005	
21	Keller Grover LLP	
22	By:/s/ Jeffrey F. Keller	
23	JEFFREY F. KELLER	
2425	Attornova for Plaintiffo	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Lipton and Thibedeau	
2627		
28	2	
۷۵	2	

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP 2 3 By: /s/ Joy Fuyuno 4 JOY K. FUYUNO 5 Attorneys for Defendant 6 **Intel Corporation** 7 8 9 [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY THE 10 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES 11 The case management conference currently scheduled for Monday, October 31, 12 2005, is hereby stayed pending the outcome of the motion to transfer and coordinate or 13 consolidate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 ("MDL Motion"). Any deadlines set by the ADR 14 Local Rules or Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, and any deadlines established in any 15 case management order applicable to this case are likewise stayed pending the outcome of the 16 aforementioned motion. 17 Upon the determination of the MDL Motion, if it is necessary for the Court to 18 reschedule a case management conference, the parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, 19 disclosures and report required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26 accordingly. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 Date: Honorable Marilyn H. Patel United States District Court Judge 24 25 26 27 28 3

Case3:05-cv-02669-MHP Document33 Filed10/20/05 Page3 of 3